Secret
No Ferrige Dissen



STAFF NOTES:

Latin American Trends '

AP PROVED TO RESEASE

Sè

a Sobor

30, 1974



Chile: State of War/State of Siege: Does it Matter?

ment of an end to the year old "State of War" initially appeared to have significant juridical implications. The shift to a "State of Sege - Internal Defense'. has, however, turned out to be one of form rather than substance.

chile had been underboth a "State of War' and a "State of siege." The latter was declared on September 11, 1973, and the following day, the junta decreed that it was to be interpreted as equivalent to a "State of War." A simple end to the 'State of War" would have rendered wartime provisions of the military justice code inoperative and made less severe peacetime procedures -- such as those calling for civil court review of court martial verdicts -- applicable.

Two Decree Laws issued in conjunction with Pinochat's announcement, however, established a new : nine-level hierarchy of states of emergency--see accompanying chart--and declared effective a condition that maintains the strict wartime code provisions.

The "change" from "State of War and Siege" to
"State of siege - Internal Defense" seems to have
been designed as a domestic psychological boost, and
for propaganda and political impact abroad. The new
emergency state structure does provide a ready-made
framework for an orderly easing of certain internal
security measures; however, when the military government feels self-confident enough to make substantive
changes.

October 30, 1974

SECRET

1.5 C